

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are all the claims pending in the present application. Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 3-6, 10, 11, and 13-14 contain allowable subject matter, and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 10 and 11 have been so rewritten. Claims 1, 2, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Park (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0100578). Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Park.

§ 102(e) Rejections (Park) – Claims 1, 2, and 7-9

With respect to independent claim 1, Applicant submits that Park does not disclose or suggest at least, “a scaler for selecting a video signal corresponding to a predetermined area of the display based on an externally transmitted control signal, and enlarging the selected video signal according to a size of the display,” as recited in amended claim 1. In the present invention, as recited in claim 1 for example, a predetermined area of a display, e.g., an image, is adjusted to the size of a display, e.g., a screen, and can be displayed. However, in Park, only a certain part of an image is displayed in the sub-screen of a PIP. Park does not disclose or suggest enlarging, or, for example, adjusting, a predetermined area of a display to the size of the display.

Moreover, an exemplary aspect of the present invention is to address a conventional defect of requiring an expensive image signal separator to constitute a single large screen using a plurality of image displayers. Exemplary embodiments of the present invention have nothing to do with the invention of Park, which relates to displaying a main screen and a sub-screen on only a single display.

Park is directed to a sub picture control apparatus and method for a single TV that can achieve an effective viewing of a sub picture. That is, Park involves an apparatus for controlling the viewing of a main picture and a sub picture on a single TV display. Differently, the present invention relates to image displayer of a multi-screen system. At least based on the arguments above, Applicant respectfully submits that Park does not anticipate claim 1.

Applicant submits that dependent claims 2 and 7 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependencies from independent claim 1.

With respect to independent claim 8, Applicant submits that this amended claim is patentable at least based on reasons similar to those set forth above with respect to claim 1.

Applicant submits that dependent claim 9 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency from independent claim 8.

Further, with respect to dependent claim 9, numbered paragraph 33 of Park only discusses a group of picture, which is the picture unit, and what the group of picture unit is composed of. The specific features set forth in claim 9 are not disclosed or suggested by Park. That is, Park does not disclose or suggest at least, “wherein the video signal selecting unit comprises: a first selecting unit for selecting a predetermined area of the video signal added to a horizontal sync signal of the video signal; and a second selecting unit for selecting a predetermined area of the video signal added to a vertical sync signal of the video signal.”


§ 103(a) Rejection (Park) - Claim 12

Applicant submits that claim 12 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency from independent claim 8.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is also directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,


Peter A. McKenna
Registration No. 38,551

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: September 18, 2006